axinn

GCR Rates Axinn's Antitrust Practice as "Highly Recommended"

NEWS | 2 MIN READ

December 19, 2012



Global Competition Review announced recently that Axinn was again selected in their annual survey, *GCR 100* – listing both the firm's Washington, DC and New York practices as "Highly Recommended" among antitrust and competition law firms. *GCR 100* is a comprehensive, independent assessment of the world's top antitrust and competition practices. The survey provides qualitative analysis of antitrust groups in jurisdictions around the world. The write-ups provided by GCR are below.

Please click here for the complete list.

United States: Government Antitrust

Highly Recommended

Who's Who Legal nominee John D Briggs leads the DC office of the rising star Axinn. A skilled litigator who can handle the gamut of antitrust issues, Briggs has taken the lead for the firm's growing cartel practice over the past two years or so. This year the firm also moved to a far larger DC office, allowing the boutique to grow when opportunity arises.

Google remains a headline client, with the firm helping to guide it through its closed purchase of Motorola Mobility and the FTC's ensuing investigation of its enforcement of standard-essential patents - although that work has been primarily handled out of the New York office. Briggs has a full docket of his own, advising Tomkins in the appeal of an EU cartel fine, and Independence Blue Cross in a multi-district antitrust class action. Fellow DC partner Michael Keeley is advising Takeda Pharmaceuticals in a monopolization case against Tyco Healthcare. While the firm is involved in several government investigations that remain confidential, they include clients in the health-care, high-tech and consumer goods sectors.

United States: New York

Highly Recommended

At Axinn, antitrust practice co-chair John Harkrider and name partner Stephen Axinn have built a boutique capable of handling the most contentious and complex matters without a corporate practice to lean on. The addition of Morris Bloom, who joined the practice after 27 years at the FTC in January, was a valuable boost for the practice.

Harkrider says 2012 has been "the best year ever" for the firm. The practice was doubly successful at the *GCR* Awards: Harkrider was named "Lawyer of the Year" while the firm won "Merger Control Matter of the Year" for its work on Google's acquisition of airfare search software company ITA Software. The firm was also appointed by Google to work on its acquisition of Motorola and in connection with the FTC's ongoing investigation of standard essential patents.

The Axinn team also advises Red Hat in what Harkrider says is a growing interest in open-source software patent issues. The firm this year guided auto parts markers Affinia Group and WIX Filters towards a settlement ending four-and-a-half-year private litigation. Axinn is also representing engineering company Tomkin in its battle with the European Commission and defending Takeda Pharmaceuticals against monopolization claims.

Related People

John DeQ. Briggs John D. Harkrider Michael L. Keeley

Related Services

Related Locations

New York Washington, DC

To subscribe to our publications, click here.

Featured Insights

- American Bar Association 2025 Asia-Pacific Conference SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- NBA Commercial Law Section 38th Annual Corporate Counsel Conference SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- GCR Live: Law Leaders Global 2025
 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- The 32nd Annual Marketing Partner Forum
 EVENT
- SABA North America Corporate Counsel Retreat 2025
 SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- Axinn Antitrust Insight: FTC Announces Revised HSR Thresholds for 2025
 CLIENT ALERTS ANTITRUST
- Four Axinn Thought Leadership Pieces Nominated for the Antitrust Writing Awards
 AWARDS & RECOGNITIONS
 ANTITRUST
- Merger Remedies Back in Vogue Under Trump
 MEDIA MENTIONS ANTITRUST
- Three Takeaways from the Initial Determination at the ITC Regarding Standard Essential Patents in the 1380 Investigation

AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

•	A POSA's Motivation	A POSA's Motivation Is Not Required To Be the Same as the Inventor's in Evaluating Obviousness	
	AXINN VIEWPOINTS	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	

 $@\ 2025\ Axinn, Veltrop\ \&\ Harkrider\ LLP.\ All\ Rights\ Reserved$