# axinn

# Axinn Defeats TRO, Enabling Immediate Launch of Generic Versions of AstraZeneca's Blockbuster Crestor

NEWS | 1 MIN READ

July 20, 2016

A team of attorneys from Axinn intervened on behalf of Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. and Apotex Corp. in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia to oppose AstraZeneca's motion for a temporary restraining order. Attorneys from several firms intervened on behalf of five other generic pharmaceutical companies as well. AstraZeneca sought the order to block the FDA from approving generic versions of its top-selling cholesterol drug, Crestor (the fifth largest blockbuster drug on the market). AstraZeneca argued that the FDA cannot approve any generic versions of Crestor because it has so-called orphan drug exclusivity for a rare pediatric use of the drug that would prevent generic competition before 2023.

On July 19, U.S. District Judge Randolph Moss held a TRO hearing at which the Axinn team argued on behalf of all of the Intervenor-Defendants. Judge Moss denied AstraZeneca's motion for a TRO, allowing generic versions of the drug to enter the market.

Last year, Axinn attorneys also <u>defeated a TRO</u> enabling the immediate launch of generic versions of Otsuka's blockbuster Abilify.

## **Related People**



### **Related Services**

Intellectual Property

To subscribe to our publications, click here.

### **Featured Insights**

- American Bar Association 2025 Asia-Pacific Conference
  SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- NBA Commercial Law Section 38th Annual Corporate Counsel Conference SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- GCR Live: Law Leaders Global 2025
  SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- The 32nd Annual Marketing Partner Forum
  EVENT
- SABA North America Corporate Counsel Retreat 2025
  SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- Axinn Antitrust Insight: FTC Announces Revised HSR Thresholds for 2025
  CLIENT ALERTS ANTITRUST
- Four Axinn Thought Leadership Pieces Nominated for the Antitrust Writing Awards
  AWARDS & RECOGNITIONS
  ANTITRUST

• Merger Remedies Back in Vogue Under Trump

MEDIA MENTIONS ANTITRUST

• Three Takeaways from the Initial Determination at the ITC Regarding Standard Essential Patents in the 1380 Investigation

AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

 A POSA's Motivation Is Not Required To Be the Same as the Inventor's in Evaluating Obviousness

AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

© 2025 Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider LLP. All Rights Reserved