## axinn

# Axinn Wins Summary Judgment for Stanley Black & Decker

NEWS | 1 MIN READ

October 21, 2016

On October 18, Axinn won summary judgment for Stanley Black & Decker on a claim brought against Stanley Black & Decker, Bosch, Ryobi and other major table saw manufacturers by rival SawStop, LLC that sought hundreds of millions of dollars in damages for allegedly boycotting SawStop's technology. Concluding that SawStop knew all of the facts necessary to bring its claim and did not exercise diligence in seeking additional facts, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia held that SawStop's claim was barred by the four-year antitrust statute of limitations. In 2014, Axinn won dismissal of all of SawStop's other claims against Stanley Black & Decker and its co-defendants. John Harkrider led an Axinn team that also included Rick Dagen, Ted Mathias, John Tanski, Mike O'Mara and Brooke Oppenheimer. Bosch was represented by Layne Kruse of Norton Rose and Ryobi was represented by Jim Kress of Baker Botts and Scott Hansen of Reinhart Boerner. SawStop was represented by Quinn Emanuel.

### Related People

Richard B. Dagen John D. Harkrider Ted Mathias Michael J. O'Mara Brooke J. Oppenheimer

#### **Related Services**

Antitrust

To subscribe to our publications, click here.

#### **Featured Insights**

- American Bar Association 2025 Asia-Pacific Conference
   SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- NBA Commercial Law Section 38th Annual Corporate Counsel Conference SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- GCR Live: Law Leaders Global 2025
   SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- The 32nd Annual Marketing Partner Forum
   EVENT
- SABA North America Corporate Counsel Retreat 2025
   SPONSORSHIP ANTITRUST
- Axinn Antitrust Insight: FTC Announces Revised HSR Thresholds for 2025
   CLIENT ALERTS ANTITRUST
- Four Axinn Thought Leadership Pieces Nominated for the Antitrust Writing Awards
   AWARDS & RECOGNITIONS
   ANTITRUST
- Merger Remedies Back in Vogue Under Trump
   MEDIA MENTIONS ANTITRUST
- Three Takeaways from the Initial Determination at the ITC Regarding Standard Essential Patents in the 1380 Investigation

AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

<ul> <li>A POSA's Motivation Is Not Required To Be the Same as the Inventor's in Evaluating Obviousness</li> </ul>	
AXINN VIEWPOINTS	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
© 2	2025 Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider LLP. All Rights Reserved