axinn

Axinn Obtains Dismissal of Portion of Treble-Damages Claim for Danfoss Group Companies

NEWS | 1 MIN READ

October 21, 2016

Axinn won dismissal for various Danfoss Group companies of a portion of a treble damages antitrust claim brought by General Electric. GE's claim was in part based on purchases by a 48%-owned GE subsidiary in Mexico of allegedly price-fixed refrigerator components. Axinn moved to dismiss the claims based on those purchases under the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act and the *Illinois Brick* indirect purchaser rule, arguing that GE's case was significantly weaker than the plaintiff's claim in the highly publicized Seventh Circuit case *Motorola Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corp.* The Court wholly adopted our team's arguments, including that GE was not entitled to discovery on the issue, and even incorporated in the opinion a demonstrative exhibit from our brief, which the court described as a "helpful graphic." The Axinn team included John Briggs, Daniel Bitton, Kenina Lee, and Brooke Oppenheimer.

While the opinion is significant and material, there still remain claims associated with direct purchases made in the United States from alleged cartelists.

Related People

Daniel S. Bitton John DeQ. Briggs Kenina J. Lee Brooke J. Oppenheimer

Related Services

Antitrust

To subscribe to our publications, click here.

Featured Insights

- American Bar Association 2025 Asia-Pacific Conference
 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- NBA Commercial Law Section 38th Annual Corporate Counsel Conference
 sponsorship ANTITRUST
- GCR Live: Law Leaders Global 2025
 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT ANTITRUST
- The 32nd Annual Marketing Partner Forum
 EVENT
- SABA North America Corporate Counsel Retreat 2025
 sponsorship ANTITRUST
- Axinn Antitrust Insight: FTC Announces Revised HSR Thresholds for 2025
 CLIENT ALERTS ANTITRUST
- Four Axinn Thought Leadership Pieces Nominated for the Antitrust Writing Awards
 AWARDS & RECOGNITIONS ANTITRUST
- Merger Remedies Back in Vogue Under Trump
 MEDIA MENTIONS ANTITRUST
- Three Takeaways from the Initial Determination at the ITC Regarding Standard Essential Patents in the 1380 Investigation
 AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

• A POSA's Motivation Is Not Required To Be the Same as the Inventor's in Evaluating Obviousness

AXINN VIEWPOINTS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

@ 2025 Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider LLP. All Rights Reserved