axinn

USITC Commissioner Series: Top Dissenting Issues



2 MIN READ


April 22, 2024, 8:36 AM By: Don Z. Wang 王哲楠, Brian P. Johnson, Ian Swan, Ramya Auroprem

This is part three of our **USITC Commissioner Series**.

Read part one here, part two here, part four here and part five here.

Following my colleagues' efforts in this series, this post examines the dissent/concurrence practice of the Commissioners at the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) – specifically the "hot" issues that drew the most dissents in the past 10 years.

In terms of broad categories, the data our team gathered (visualized below) shows that issues relating to remedial orders drew the vast majority (61%) of the dissents/concurrences, followed distantly by the economic prong of the domestic industry (DI) requirement (24%). Patent merits issues (e.g., patent infringement, invalidity, and the technical prong of DI) made up only 12% of the dissents/concurrences, with the remaining 4% evenly split between importation issues and procedural issues.



Most-Dissented Remedial Order Issues

Within the dissents/concurrences on remedial order issues, about 60% relate to the proper standards for issuing cease-and-desist orders (CDOs). As my colleagues previously <u>analyzed</u>, Commissioner Schmidtlein has been the leading dissenter in this area, especially with respect to whether a respondent's domestic inventory of infringing articles must be "commercially significant" in order to issue a CDO. The question of whether repair or replacement exemptions should be granted for components of infringing articles was also the subject of a considerable amount (13%) of dissents/concurrences.





Most-Dissented DI Economic Prong Issues

With respect to DI economic prong issues, the top dissented issue was the "significance" of qualifying investment/expenditures, making up 37% of the dissents/concurrences on economic DI issues. As my colleagues <u>noted</u>, Commissioner Kearns has been leading the charge on this issue. Other top economic DI issues drawing dissents/concurrences include questions on what types of investments/expenditures qualify for DI considerations (22%) and whether a complainant's activities are different from those of a "mere importer" (17%).



I dissent.

Related People

Brian P. Johnson Ramya Auroprem Ian Swan Don Z. Wang 王哲楠

Related Services

Intellectual Property

To subscribe to our publications, click here.

TAGS

regulatory, International Trade Commission

News & Insights

- GCR Live: Law Leaders Global 2025 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT **ANTITRUST**
- NYC Bar Trade Secrets Symposium 2024: Navigating the Law of Trade Secrets and **Restrictive Covenants** SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- Stakeholders 24th Annual CYOC Career Development Conference **SPONSORSHIP**
- IAM Connect Patent Policy and Litigation Conference 2024 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
- CompLaw 33rd Annual Advanced EU Brussels Conference SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT
- AIC General Counsel Day 2024 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT **ANTITRUST**
- ALM General Counsel Conference East 2024 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT **ANTITRUST**
- Navigating Global Politics: The Future of Antitrust Merger Policy and Foreign Direct

Investment (FDI)
WEBINAR ANTITRUST

- Global Legal Group Global Class Actions Symposium 2024 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT LITIGATION & TRIALS
- ITCTLA Mock Hearing Program 2024

 SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

© 2024 Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider LLP. All Rights Reserved